1	JASON M. FRIERSON			
2	United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 7709			
3	STEVEN J. ROSE Assistant United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 13575			
3				
4				
5				
6	Attorneys for the United States of America			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9				
	United States of America,	2:24-cr-0052-GMN-DJA		
10	Plaintiff,			
11	V.	Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing and Future Dates		
12				
13	BRYAN WESLEY ANDERSON,	(First Request)		
14	Defendant.			
15				
	The parties by and through the unders	igned respectfully request that the Court		
16	The parties, by and through the undersigned, respectfully request that the Court			
17	vacate any currently set dates and continue the	e hearing regarding change of plea to a date		
18	and time convenient to this Court but no sooner than 90 days. In support of this request, the			
19	parties state the following:			
20	1. On May 9, 2023, Brian Anderson (Defendant) was charged in an Indictmen			
21	with Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell in violation of 21 U.S.C.			
22	§ 841(a)(1) in the District of Utah in case 4:23-cr-0040 (case 23cr40).			
23	2. On November 7, 2023, Defenda	nt was charged in Nevada in an Indictment		
24				

with Conspiracy to Distribute a Controlled Substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii), and 846; and Possession with Intent to Distribute a Controlled Substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A)(viii) in case 2:23-cr-212-GMN-NJK (case 23cr212).

- 3. Following Defendant's indictment in case 23cr212, discussions took place about resolving those two cases, 23cr40 and 23cr212, with a joint resolution.
- 4. On February 16, 2024, a Consent to Transfer Jurisdiction transferring defendant's case to the District of Nevada was filed in case 23cr40.
- 5. On February 16, 2024, the Commitment to Another District was signed in case 23cr40. That case was designated 2:24-cr-052-GMN-DJA (the instant case).
- 6. Inadvertently, an initial appearance was not set in 23cr212, and defendant has not been arraigned in that case.
 - 7. The parties continue to discuss a joint resolution of the cases.
- 8. More time is needed to arraign defendant on 23cr212, review all discovery, and pursue a joint resolution.
 - 9. Defendant is in custody and consents to the continuance.
- 10. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice. This continuance will allow counsel for Defendant to continue investigation, review of discovery, development of defense strategies, and discussion of resolutions. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161 (h)(7) and

1	(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (vi).		
2			
3	Respectfully submitted this 15th day of April, 2024.		
4	Ł		
5	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney		
6		Russ Marsh	
7	STEVEN J. ROSE RUS	SS MARSH	
8	to D	nsel Notified he would be appointed efendant, AN WAYNE ANDERSON	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	;		
16			
17	7		
18	3		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney		
2	Nevada Bar No. 7709 STEVEN J. ROSE		
3	Assistant United States Attorney		
4	Nevada Bar No. 13575 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for the United States of America		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7	United States of America,	2:24-cr-0052-GMN-DJA	
8 9	Plaintiff,	Order Approving Stipulation to Continue Hearing and Future Dates	
	V.		
10	BRYAN WAYNE ANDERSON,	(First Request)	
11	Defendant.		
12			
13			
14	Based on the pending stipulation of the parties, and upon the Court's finding of good		
15	cause, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
16	FINDINGS OF FACT		
17	1. The parties agree to the continuance.		
18	2. The defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance.		
19	3. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but t		
20	facilitate defendant's arraignment on a related case, review of discovery, an		
21	ongoing discussions between counse	:1.	
22	4. Additionally, denial of this request for a continuance could result in a miscarriage		
23	of justice. The requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time		
24			

within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161 (h)(7), and 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (vi).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient opportunity to potentially resolve the cases prior to trial, and further would deny the parties sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The time from the continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7), and 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Change of Plea hearing is scheduled for July 17, 2024, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 7D.

DATED this 16 day of April , 2024.

HONORABLE GLORIA M. NAVARRO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE